

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/502,475	03/16/2005	Valerio Bramati	RN02009	5463
Jean Louis Seu	7590 08/09/2007	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Department Rhodia Inc CN 7500 259 Prospect Plains Road Cranbury, NJ 08512-7500			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			·	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/502,475	BRAMATI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alton N. Pryor	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reliated will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/	<u>/12/07;5/14/07</u> .	•			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 16-20 and 27-31 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 16-20,27-31 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-982) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	sy/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/502,475

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Election requirement dated 4/20/07 is improper and therefore withdrawn because Examiner Clardy examined all the claims in office action dated 7/11/06.

Restatement of Examiner Clardy's rejection dated 7/11/06 Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-20,27-30 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al (US '932), Hasebe et al (US '863) and Sato et al (US '332). New or presently presented claim 31 has been added to this rejection.

Chen et al teach aqueous formulations comprising agrochemicals such as herbicides including glufosinate (columns 7-8), electrolytes such as inorganic salts (columns 5-6), and other additives such as surfactants, including betaine derivatives (column 6, lines 39-59), solvents, dispersants, etc. (col 3, lines 37-68+).

Hasebe et al teach liquid enhancer compositions for amino acid herbicides such as glyphosate, bialaphos, and glufosinate (col 5, lines 23-28) comprising a tertiary amine such as betaine (col 3 lines 58-62) and an oxalic acid compound (abstract).

Other components may include surfactants (columns 4-5 and inorganic salts (col 6, lines 12-16). Table 1 lists several compositions comprising polyoxyethylated fatty amine / ammonium compounds.

Sato et al teach high concentration (100 to 600 g ae/L, col 6, lines 64-66) glyphosate compositions comprising activity enhancing surfactants (columns 8-10) such as trialkylbetaines (col 10, lines 7-12) and alkyl glycosides / polyglycosides (col 8, lines 23-24, 48-50), at amounts ranging from 2 to 25% by weight (col 11, lines 1-2), with optional additives such as inorganic salts (col 11, lines 8-10: ammonium sulfate, potassium sulfate, potassium chloride, or sodium sulfate), and additional active agents such as bialaphos and glufosinate (lines 44-56).

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine these references because they disclose components, which are useful for formulating and enhancing the activity of aqueous glyphosate or aminophosphate herbicide compositions.

Response to Applicants' Argument

Applicants argue:

- a) The claimed invention provides new herbicide formulations that show a high efficacy, while being stable, and avoids drawbacks of standard formulations comprising alkoxylated amine.
- b) The instant formulation comprises a betaine surfactant. This surfactant makes it possible to obtain an efficacy at least equal to the one obtained with an equivalent amount of alkoxylated amine thereby avoiding the toxicity issue associated with the amine.
- Hasebe lists many nitrogen-containing surfactants, including betaine.
 Hasebe is mute to glycoside surfactants. Note, betaine surfactants are not

tested in Hasebe. It would not be obvious to try betaine surfactants since they are listed in a long list of compounds.

- d) Sato ammonium glyphosate compositions comprise an amount of suitable surfactants including betaines and glycosides. There exist no motivation in Sato's teaching to motivate the election of betaine and/or glycoside surfactants
- e) New / previously present claim 31 does not encompass ammonium glyphosate salt making it outside Sato's teaching.

Examiner's argues that the Applicants make mention that unexpected results are obtained for a glyphosate composition comprising a betaine surfactant. However, applicants do not provide a showing of unexpected results for a glyphosate composition comprising a betaine surfactant in comparison to a glyphosate composition comprising an alkoxylated amine surfactant. Since the prior art broadly teaches combining glyphosate salts with surfactants including betaine and glycoside surfactants, it would have been obvious to try the mixture. Note a prior art reference is not required to exemplify or explicitly teach the combination of ingredients in order to make a claimed combination of ingredients obvious. The mere fact that the prior art broadly discloses the ingredients and suggests that mixtures of ingredients can be formulated would make it obvious to try all the mixtures disclosed in the specification of the prior art references. Note that it is glyphosate that is the active component of a salt or adduct comprising it. The metal or adduct portion of the glyphosate is not responsible for the activity of the glyphosate. For these reasons the rejection on record is maintained.

Art Unit: 1616

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/502,475

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616